

Thesis (PHD) Evaluation Form

It is the coordinator/student's responsibility to distribute this form along with their thesis to each member evaluator of the thesis. After the evaluation the form should be submitted to the PhD director by email. (Prof. Beniamino Cenci Goga Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, via San Costanzo 4, 06124 Perugia Italy. Tel + 39 075 5857929; email beniamino.cencigoga@unipg.it)

Student's Name: CATERINA ALTISSIMI

Degree: PhD TITLE OF THESIS/DISSERTATION:

Use of agro-food industry by-products to improve the hygienic characteristics of hunted wild boar meat

Rating range A-D (ex.A = excellent B = good C = sufficient)

Category	Rating	Comments
Research Questions/Set-up	A	The item is of utmost importance for the meat production field
Literature Review	A	Extended literature review was performed on the issue
Methodology	A	The methods applied were clear and proper to obtain initial information about the issue
Analysis/Presentation of Results	A	The results were well presented and clear for the reader
Discussion/Implications	A	Discussion of the data is clear; general conclusions state the need for further research
Quality of Writing	A	Writing quality was optimal for the reader, the text was clear
Overall Rating	A	

Additional Comments:

Signature:  Print name: SIMONE STELLA

Please review the attached evaluation guidelines and provide your assessment below.

Criteria	Grade	Descriptive Anchors
Research Question/Set-up	A	Includes clear description of the issue, identifies gaps in scientific knowledge and/or provides justification for the current research study.
	B	Research questions clearly articulated and sufficient background information included.
	C	Lacks a focused research question and importance is not completely justified.
Literature Review	A	Identifies relevant research and literature and accurately summarizes and integrates the information.
	B	Cites major works and places them in context.
	C	Fails to cite or assimilate previous works.
Methodology	A	Demonstrates clear understanding and proper use of methodology, identifies relevant strengths and weaknesses of methods used.
	B	Demonstrates proficient knowledge of methodology and gives justification for selection of methods.
	C	The methodology is not well appropriate for study and understanding is not clearly demonstrated.
Analysis/Presentation of Results	A	Results interpreted in light of proposed research question and existing literature. Includes alternative explanations and instructional tables and graphs.
	B	Results clearly summarized, discussion of results focused and tied to research question.
	C	Presentation lacks focus, tables are unorganized, and results produce no insight into proposed question.
Discussion/Implications	A	Clearly summarizes the key information gained from the study and describes advancement of knowledge or new insights on an issue.
	B	Discussion of results focused and connected to research questions. Implications for future research discussed.
	C	The new knowledge gained from the study and implications of the study are not clearly discussed.
Quality of Writing	A	Ideas expressed with very good clarity, logic, and conciseness.
	B	Coherent presentation with limited typos and grammatical errors. Logical progression of thought within overall thesis and within each section.
	C	Significant parts difficult to understand, numerous errors. Repetition, poor organization of ideas, and poor writing hinders reader understanding.